

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/815,434	03/22/2001	Philip D. Lapsley	8514-57 (STA-28) 8767	
20575	7590 12/02/2003	EXAMINER		INER
	OHNSON & MCCOLL	HAYES, JOHN W		
	ORRISON STREET O. OR 97205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
		DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applie	cation No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/81	5,434	LAPSLEY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Exam	iner	Art Unit			
		V Hayes	3621			
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appears or	the cover sheet with the (corresp naenc address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provisafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this orange of the period for reply specified above is less than thing the period for reply is specified above, the maximumumumumumumumumumumumumumumumumumumu	UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In recommunication. rty (30) days, a reply within the m statutory period will apply a reply will, by statute, cause the this after the mailing date of the	to event, however, may a reply be ting statutory minimum of thirty (30) day and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>07 October :</u>	<u>2003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action i	s non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 26-30</u> is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 26-30</u> is/are re 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to res	is/are withdrawn from ejected.	consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to be 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 February Applicant may not request that any one Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu	ary 2003 is/are: a)⊠ objection to the drawing ding the correction is re	(s) be held in abeyance. Se quired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 25 August 2003 has been entered.

Status of Claims

Applicant has amended claims 1 and 29 in the amendment filed 07 October 2003. Applicant has
previously canceled claims 16-25 in the preliminary amendment filed 14 May 2002, thus claims 1-15 and
26-30 remain pending.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 27 February 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to claim 1, applicant contends that the instant invention differs from Gatto since the instant invention "forwards the payor financial account to the payee" and further that the payee forwards the transaction to a financial transaction processor. Applicant further argues that Gatto does not disclose forwarding the payor financial account to the payee and notes that the mere fact that Gatto discloses using POS terminals does not mean that the payor financial account is forwarded to the POS terminal. Examiner respectfully disagrees and submits that Gatto discloses forwarding the payor financial account to the payee since Gatto discloses that the invention may be used in connection with a POS terminals such as supermarkets or retailer checkouts, gas pumps, coupon dispensers, gaming devices, lottery machines, and check cashing machines wherein the user enters and forward information to the payee terminal such as how the transaction will be paid for and the financial account to be used for payment such as ATM card or VISA (Col. 8, lines 40-55). In order for the transaction to be carried out with a

Application/Control Number: 09/815,434 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

customer's VISA card, for example, the payee would need the payor's financial account information to get authorization for the transaction.

Applicant contends that none of the references teach forwarding the financial transaction to a financial transaction processor by the payee to authorize payment. Examiner respectfully disagrees and notes that Merjanian discloses a method of commerce employing the use of biometrics wherein a point of purchase terminal together with a biometric reader is used to carry out a transaction in locations such as retail stores, restaurants and resorts. Merjanian discloses that cards such as VISA, MasterCard and American Express can be presented to carry out the transaction (Col. 10, lines 8-55) where the merchant would forward the transaction to a financial transaction processor such as VISA or American Express (Col. 12, lines 28-43). Examiner further submits that it is well known for payees such as merchants to forward transaction details to financial transaction processors such as VISA or MasterCard in order to authorize the transaction and ensure that there are sufficient funds to complete the transactions.

Finally, applicant argues that none of the references teach that the payor financial account is received from a computer data processing center. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e.,receiving the payor financial account from a computer data processing center) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26

USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). None of the claims specifically recite that the payor financial account is received from a computer data processing center. In fact, the term "computer data processing center" does not appear in any of the claims.

Drawings

4. The drawings were received on 27 February 2003. These drawings are approved.

Art Unit: 3621

Terminal Disclaimer

5. The terminal disclaimer filed on 14 May 2002 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent No. 6,269,348 B1 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-7 and 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gatto, U.S. Patent No. 5,546,523 in view of Merjanian, U.S. Patent No. 6,028,950.

As per <u>Claims 1-7 and 9-15</u>, Gatto discloses a method for tokenless authorization of an electronic payment between a payor and a payee using an electronic third party identicator and at least one payor bid biometric sample, comprising the steps of :

- a payor registration step, wherein the payor registers with an electronic third party identicator at least one registration biometric sample and a PIN, and at least one payor financial account identifier (Col. 2, lines 55-60 and 63-66; Col. 3, lines 55-56; Col. 4, lines 5-11 and 48-52; Col. 5, lines 43-48; Col. 6, lines 1-11);
- a payor biometric sample collection step, wherein at least one payor bid biometric sample is obtained from the payor's person (Col. 6, lines 1-11);
- at least one transmission step, wherein the payor bid biometric sample is forwarded to the electronic identicator (Col. 6, lines 1-11; Col. 10, lines 1-8);

Art Unit: 3621

- a payor identification step, wherein the electronic identicator compares the payor bid biometric sample with the at least one registered biometric sample for producing either a successful or failed identification of the payor (Col. 6, lines 1-11; Col, 9 line 65-Col. 10 line 11);
- an identification response step, wherein upon successful identification of the payor, the electronic identicator electronically forwards at least one payor account identifier to the payee point of sale equipment (Col. 9, lines 10-18; Col. 8, lines 40-45);
- a transaction execution step, wherein an electronic financial transaction is formed between the payor and the payee, comprising a transaction amount and a payor financial account identifier (Col. 3, lines 25-32; Col. 4, lines 5-10; Col. 5, lines 43-48; Col. 9, lines 5-18);
- selecting by the payor the type of financial account to be debited such as a credit or debit account (Col. 8, lines 50-55; Col. 9, lines 5-18);
- an approval step wherein the transaction amount is displayed to the payor and the payor indicates acceptance of the transaction (Col. 2, lines 25-33; Col. 3, lines 25-30; Col. 4, lines 40-46; Col. 6, lines 60-65);
- wherein upon successful identification of the payor and payee, a biometric based authorization of an electronic payment is given to transfer funds from the payor's account to a payee's financial account (Col. 9, lines 5-18; Col. 10, lines 1-8 and 36-46).

Gatto further fails to explicitly disclose forwarding the financial transaction to a financial transaction processor for authorization. Merjanian discloses a biometrically controlled transaction and teaches forwarding the transaction to a financial transaction processor such as VISA or American Express for processing. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the method of Gatto and forward the transaction to a financial transaction processor such as VISA or a bank in order to carry out the transaction as is commonly known in the financial community.

Art Unit: 3621

8. Claims 26-30 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gatto, U.S. Patent No. 5,546,523 and Merjanian, U.S. Patent No. 6,028,950 as applied above and further in view of Colbert, U.S. Patent No. 5,485,510.

As per <u>Claims 26-28 and 8</u>, Gatto further discloses the identification of a payee (Col. 5, lines 43-48; Col. 9, lines 5-18; Col. 10, lines 36-46) and an identification response step, wherein upon successful identification of the payor, the electronic identicator electronically forwards at least one payor account identifier to the payee point of sale equipment (Col. 9, lines 10-18; Col. 8, lines 40-45), however, fails to specifically disclose that the payee registers identification data with electronic identicator and further a payee identification step wherein the payee's bid identification data is compared with registered identification data. Colbert discloses a secure credit authorization and teaches the use of identification registration data for a payee (Col. 1, lines 55-60; Col. 2, lines 23-25) and comparing this data with the payee identification data to authorize the transaction (Col. 2, lines 40-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the method of Gatto and include a step of identifying the payee as well as the payor in order to simplify the billing process as suggested by Colbert.

As per <u>Claims 29-30</u>, Gatto discloses a method for tokenless authorization of an electronic payment between a payor and a payee using an electronic third party identicator and at least one payor bid biometric sample, comprising the steps of :

- a payor registration step, wherein the payor registers with an electronic third party identicator at least one registration biometric sample and a PIN, and at least one payor financial account identifier (Col. 2, lines 55-60 and 63-66; Col. 3, lines 55-56; Col. 4, lines 5-11 and 48-52; Col. 5, lines 43-48; Col. 6, lines 1-11);
- a payor biometric sample collection step, wherein at least one payor bid biometric sample is obtained from the payor's person (Col. 6, lines 1-11);

Art Unit: 3621

- at least one transmission step, wherein the payor bid biometric sample is forwarded to the electronic identicator (Col. 6, lines 1-11; Col. 10, lines 1-8);
- a payor identification step, wherein the electronic identicator compares the payor bid biometric sample with the at least one registered biometric sample for producing either a successful or failed identification of the payor (Col. 6, lines 1-11; Col, 9 line 65-Col. 10 line 11);
- an identification response step, wherein upon successful identification of the payor, the electronic identicator electronically forwards at least one payor account identifier to the payee point of sale equipment (Col. 9, lines 10-18; Col. 8, lines 40-45);
- a transaction execution step, wherein an electronic financial transaction is formed between the payor and the payee, comprising a transaction amount and a payor financial account identifier (Col. 3, lines 25-32; Col. 4, lines 5-10; Col. 5, lines 43-48; Col. 9, lines 5-18);
- selecting by the payor the type of financial account to be debited such as a credit or debit account (Col. 8, lines 50-55; Col. 9, lines 5-18);
- an approval step wherein the transaction amount is displayed to the payor and the payor indicates acceptance of the transaction (Col. 2, lines 25-33; Col. 3, lines 25-30; Col. 4, lines 40-46; Col. 6, lines 60-65);
- wherein upon successful identification of the payor and payee, a biometric based authorization of an electronic payment is given to transfer funds from the payor's account to a payee's financial account (Col. 9, lines 5-18; Col. 10, lines 1-8 and 36-46).

Gatto further discloses the identification of a payee (Col. 5, lines 43-48; Col. 9, lines 5-18; Col. 10, lines 36-46), however, fails to specifically disclose that the payee registers identification data with electronic identicator and further a payee identification step wherein the payee's bid identification data is compared with registered identification data. Colbert discloses a secure credit authorization and teaches the use of identification registration data for a payee (Col. 1, lines 55-60; Col. 2, lines 23-25) and comparing this data with the payee identification data to authorize the transaction (Col. 2, lines 40-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the

,

Art Unit: 3621

method of Gatto and include a step of identifying the payee as well as the payor in order to simplify the billing process as suggested by Colbert.

Gatto further fails to explicitly disclose forwarding the financial transaction to a financial transaction processor for authorization. Merjanian discloses a biometrically controlled transaction and teaches forwarding the transaction to a financial transaction processor such as VISA or American Express for processing. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the method of Gatto and forward the transaction to a financial transaction processor such as VISA or a bank in order to carry out the transaction as is commonly known in the financial community.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- Bocinsky, Jr. discloses a secure electronic funds transfer method wherein voice prints of the customer are verified followed by an authorization by a remote transaction processor
- Checchio discloses a method and apparatus for preauthorizing credit card transactions wherein the customer's credit card number, vendor ID code and transaction amount are provided to a network authorization system for authorization
- Shkedy discloses a system and method wherein buyers and sellers are identified using biometrics and a central controller is used to process transactions
- Linehan discloses a four party credit/debit payment protocol wherein consumers are identified using biometrics and wherein a issuer gateway processes the transactions.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 09/815,434

Art Unit: 3621

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Hayes whose telephone number is (703)306-5447. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 5:30 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Trammell, can be reached on (703) 305-9768.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 [Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

(703) 746-5531 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7^{th floor receptionist.}

/John W. Hayes / /Primary Examiner Art Unit 3621

December 1, 2003